

Segmentation of Cell Components Using Prior Knowledge

Ming Jiang, Qiang Ji, Xun Wang and Bruce F. McEwen

1. Introduction	371
2. Review of Volume Segmentation in Electron Tomography	372
3. Improved Segmentation Using Prior Knowledge	373
4. Extraction of Kinetochore Microtubules and Plus-ends	376
4.1. Coarse Feature Enhancement	377
4.2. Microtubule Localization	380
4.3. Fine Feature Enhancement	382
4.4. Segmentation of Microtubule Main Body with the Active Shape Model Technique	383
4.5. Extraction of Microtubule Plus-ends	385
4.6. Results and Evaluation	389
5. Segmentation of Membrane Structures	392
5.1. Deformable Contour with Constrained Optimization	393
5.2. Experimental Results	394
6. Summary and Conclusions	395
References	396

1. INTRODUCTION

Electron tomography is a method for determining 3D structure by electron microscopy, using multiple tilt views of the specimen (Lucic *et al.*, 2005; McEwen and Marko 2001; McIntosh *et al.*, 2005). Since electron

Ming Jiang, Qiang Ji and Xun Wang • Electrical, Computer, and Systems Engineering Department, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY 12180, USA

Bruce McEwen • Resource for Visualization of Biological Complexity, Wadsworth Center, Empire State Plaza, PO Box 509, Albany, NY 12201-0509, USA

tomography does not employ averaging or require the presence of symmetry, it can be used in biological applications to image single copies of sub-cellular components *in situ*. When specimen preparation is optimized by use of rapid freezing, and imaged either directly in the frozen-hydrated state, or after freeze substitution and plastic embedding, electron tomography provides a relatively high-resolution view of biological structure in a native, or near-native, cellular context.

To realize the full potential of this powerful breakthrough, investigators must be able to identify and segment components of interest from the complex and densely packed cellular environment characteristic of well-preserved biological specimens. This is particularly challenging because, in addition to the crowded environment they portray, images of biological specimens have inherently low contrast and a low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). As a result, segmentation of cellular components has been dominated by manual procedures that rely on the expert knowledge of biologists to recognize specific structures. However, such manual procedures are time-consuming, subjective and ill suited to handling the data throughput required to make statistical correlations among data sets recorded under differing functional conditions.

Many semi-automatic and fully automatic segmentation methods have been developed to overcome the limitations of manual segmentation, and some of these have been adapted for electron tomographic volumes. In this chapter, we first review segmentation work in the field of electron tomography, and then discuss the rationale for using prior knowledge to improve the segmentation of a 3D reconstruction portraying a densely packed cellular environment with a high amount of noise present (low SNR). This is followed by a detailed description of our implementation of prior knowledge-based segmentation, including practical applications, experimental results and evaluations.

2. REVIEW OF VOLUME SEGMENTATION IN ELECTRON TOMOGRAPHY

Quantitative analysis of cell components in an electron tomographic volume has become an important tool at the frontier of structural biological research. This analysis often involves segmenting cell components, measuring their dimensions, locating critical points and determining spatial relationships among the components (e.g. Harlow *et al.*, 2001; Marsh *et al.*, 1998; Perkins *et al.*, 1997; Renken *et al.*, 2002; Scorrano *et al.*, 2002). In a number of applications, segmentation was achieved primarily by stacking the manually traced contours from the individual slices to construct the 3D model (He *et al.*, 2003; McEwen and Marko 1999; Tregear *et al.*, 2004). Several software packages can be used to contour electron tomographic data sets, including: SYNU (Perkins *et al.*, 1997), IMOD (Kremer *et al.*, 1996), SPIDER/STERECON (Frank *et al.* 1996; Marko and Leith, 1996) and NIH Image (Rasband and Bright, 1995).