

## Pi, Euler Numbers, and Asymptotic Expansions

J. M. BORWEIN, P. B. BORWEIN, and K. DILCHER<sup>1</sup>, *Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada*

JONATHAN M. BORWEIN was an Ontario Rhodes Scholar (1971) at Jesus College, Oxford, where he completed a D. Phil. (1974) with Michael Dempster. Since 1974 he has worked at Dalhousie University where he is professor of mathematics. He has also been on faculty at Carnegie-Mellon University (1980–82). He was the 1987 Coxeter-James lecturer of the Canadian Mathematical Society and was awarded the Atlantic Provinces Council on the Sciences 1988 Gold Medal for Research. His research interests include functional analysis, classical analysis, and optimization theory.



PETER B. BORWEIN obtained a Ph.D. (1979) from the University of British Columbia, under the supervision of David Boyd. He spent 1979–80 as a NATO research fellow in Oxford. Since then he has been on faculty at Dalhousie (except for a sabbatical year at the University of Toronto) and is now Associate Professor of Mathematics. His research interests include approximation theory, classical analysis, and complexity theory.



KARL DILCHER received his undergraduate education and a Dipl. Math. degree at Technische Universität Clausthal in West Germany. He completed his Ph.D (1983) at Queen's University in Kingston, Ontario with Paulo Ribenboim. Since 1984 he has been teaching at Dalhousie, where he is now Assistant Professor. His research interests include Bernoulli numbers and polynomials, and classical complex analysis.



**1. Introduction.** Gregory's series for  $\pi$ , truncated at 500,000 terms, gives to forty places

$$4 \sum_{k=1}^{500,000} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2k-1} = 3.141590653589793240462643383269502884197.$$

The number on the right is not  $\pi$  to forty places. As one would expect, the 6th digit after the decimal point is wrong. The surprise is that the next 10 digits are correct. In fact, only the 4 underlined digits aren't correct. This intriguing observation was sent to us by R. D. North [10] of Colorado Springs with a request for an explanation. The point of this article is to provide that explanation. Two related

<sup>1</sup>Research of the authors supported in part by NSERC of Canada.

examples, to fifty digits, are

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \doteq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{50,000} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2k-1} \\ = 1.5707\text{8}632679489\text{7}619231321\text{1}916397520520985833147388$$

$$1 \quad -1 \quad 5 \quad -61$$

and

$$\log 2 \doteq \sum_{k=1}^{50,000} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} \\ = .6931\text{3}71806\text{5}994530939\text{7}23212147417656804830013446572,$$

$$1 \quad -1 \quad 2 \quad -16 \quad 272$$

where all but the underlined digits are correct. The numbers under the underlined digits are the numbers that must be added to correct these. The numbers 1, -1, 5, -61 are the first four *Euler numbers* while 1, -1, 2, -16, 272 are the first five *tangent numbers*. Our process of discovery consisted of generating these sequences and then identifying them with the aid of Sloane's *Handbook of Integer Sequences* [11]. What one is observing, in each case, is an asymptotic expansion of the error in Euler summation. The amusing detail is that the coefficients of the expansion are integers. All of this is explained by Theorem 1.

The standard facts we need about the *Euler numbers*  $\{E_i\}$ , the *tangent numbers*  $\{T_i\}$ , and the *Bernoulli numbers*  $\{B_i\}$ , may all be found in [1] or in [6]. The numbers are defined as the coefficients of the power series

$$\sec z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{E_{2n} z^{2n}}{(2n)!}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\tan z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{T_{2n+1} z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \quad \text{and } T_0 = 1, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n z^n}{n!}. \quad (1.3)$$

They satisfy the relations

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2n}{2k} E_{2k} = 0, \quad E_{2n+1} = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

$$B_n = \frac{-nT_{n-1}}{2^n(2^n - 1)} \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} B_k = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

These three identities allow for the easy generation of  $\{E_n\}$ ,  $\{T_n\}$ , and  $\{B_n\}$ . The