

THE ARITHMETIC-GEOMETRIC MEAN AND FAST COMPUTATION OF ELEMENTARY FUNCTIONS*

J. M. BORWEIN† AND P. B. BORWEIN†

Abstract. We produce a self contained account of the relationship between the Gaussian arithmetic-geometric mean iteration and the fast computation of elementary functions. A particularly pleasant algorithm for π is one of the by-products.

Introduction. It is possible to calculate 2^n decimal places of π using only n iterations of a (fairly) simple three-term recursion. This remarkable fact seems to have first been explicitly noted by Salamin in 1976 [16]. Recently the Japanese workers Y. Tamura and Y. Kanada have used Salamin's algorithm to calculate π to 2^{23} decimal places in 6.8 hours. Subsequently 2^{24} places were obtained ([18] and private communication). Even more remarkable is the fact that all the elementary functions can be calculated with similar dispatch. This was proved (and implemented) by Brent in 1976 [5]. These extraordinarily rapid algorithms rely on a body of material from the theory of elliptic functions, all of which was known to Gauss. It is an interesting synthesis of classical mathematics with contemporary computational concerns that has provided us with these methods. Brent's analysis requires a number of results on elliptic functions that are no longer particularly familiar to most mathematicians. Newman in 1981 stripped this analysis to its bare essentials and derived related, though somewhat less computationally satisfactory, methods for computing π and log. This concise and attractive treatment may be found in [15].

Our intention is to provide a mathematically intermediate perspective and some bits of the history. We shall derive implementable (essentially) quadratic methods for computing π and all the elementary functions. The treatment is entirely self-contained and uses only a minimum of elliptic function theory.

1. 3.141592653589793238462643383279502884197. The calculation of π to great accuracy has had a mathematical import that goes far beyond the dictates of utility. It requires a mere 39 digits of π in order to compute the circumference of a circle of radius 2×10^{25} meters (an upper bound on the distance travelled by a particle moving at the speed of light for 20 billion years, and as such an upper bound on the radius of the universe) with an error of less than 10^{-12} meters (a lower bound for the radius of a hydrogen atom).

Such a calculation was in principle possible for Archimedes, who was the first person to develop methods capable of generating arbitrarily many digits of π . He considered circumscribed and inscribed regular n -gons in a circle of radius 1. Using $n = 96$ he obtained

$$3.1405 \dots = \frac{6336}{2017.25} < \pi < \frac{14688}{4673.5} = 3.1428.$$

If $1/A_n$ denotes the area of an inscribed regular 2^n -gon and $1/B_n$ denotes the area of a circumscribed regular 2^n -gon about a circle of radius 1 then

$$(1.1) \quad A_{n+1} = \sqrt{A_n B_n}, \quad B_{n+1} = \frac{A_{n+1} + B_n}{2}.$$

*Received by the editors February 8, 1983, and in revised form November 21, 1983. This research was partially sponsored by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

†Department of Mathematics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada B3H 4H8.

This two-term iteration, starting with $A_2 := 1/2$ and $B_2 := 1/4$, can obviously be used to calculate π . (See Edwards [9, p. 34].) A_5^{-1} , for example, is 3.14159266 which is correct through the first seven digits. In the early sixteen hundreds Ludolph von Ceulen actually computed π to 35 places by Archimedes' method [2].

Observe that $A_n := 2^{-n} \operatorname{cosec}(\theta/2^n)$ and $B_n := 2^{-n-1} \cotan(\theta/2^{n+1})$ satisfy the above recursion. So do $A_n := 2^{-n} \operatorname{cosech}(\theta/2^n)$ and $B_n := 2^{-n-1} \cotanh(\theta/2^{n+1})$. Since in both cases the common limit is $1/\theta$, the iteration can be used to calculate the standard inverse trigonometric and inverse hyperbolic functions. (This is often called Borchardt's algorithm [6], [19].)

If we observe that

$$A_{n+1} - B_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{A_n}/\sqrt{B_n} + 1)} (A_n - B_n)$$

we see that the error is decreased by a factor of approximately four with each iteration. This is linear convergence. To compute n decimal digits of π , or for that matter \arcsin , $\operatorname{arcsinh}$ or \log , requires $O(n)$ iterations.

We can, of course, compute π from \arctan or \arcsin using the Taylor expansion of these functions. John Machin (1680–1752) observed that

$$\pi = 16 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) - 4 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{239}\right)$$

and used this to compute π to 100 places. William Shanks in 1873 used the same formula for his celebrated 707 digit calculation. A similar formula was employed by Leonhard Euler (1707–1783):

$$\pi = 20 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{7}\right) + 8 \arctan\left(\frac{3}{79}\right).$$

This, with the expansion

$$\arctan(x) = \frac{y}{x} \left(1 + \frac{2}{3}y + \frac{2.4}{3.5}y^2 + \dots \right)$$

where $y = x^2/(1+x^2)$, was used by Euler to compute π to 20 decimal places in an hour. (See Beckman [2] or Wrench [21] for a comprehensive discussion of these matters.) In 1844 Johann Dase (1824–1861) computed π correctly to 200 places using the formula

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = \arctan\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{1}{5}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{1}{8}\right).$$

Dase, an “idiot savant” and a calculating prodigy, performed this “stupendous task” in “just under two months.” (The quotes are from Beckman, pp. 105 and 107.)

A similar identity:

$$\pi = 24 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) + 8 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{57}\right) + 4 \arctan\left(\frac{1}{239}\right)$$

was employed, in 1962, to compute 100,000 decimals of π . A more reliable “idiot savant”, the IBM 7090, performed this calculation in a mere 8 hrs. 43 mins. [17].

There are, of course, many series, products and continued fractions for π . However, all the usual ones, even cleverly evaluated, require $O(\sqrt{n})$ operations ($+$, \times , \div , $\sqrt{}$) to arrive at n digits of π . Most of them, in fact, employ $O(n)$ operations for n digits, which is