

# **$^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET/CT-directed dose escalation in stereotactic body radiotherapy for spine oligometastases from prostate cancer**

L. Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, S.A. Kwee<sup>3,4</sup>, M. Li<sup>1</sup>, X. Peng<sup>1</sup>, L. Xie<sup>1</sup>, Z. Lin<sup>1</sup>, H. Wang<sup>2</sup> and Y. Kuang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cancer Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Department of Radiation Oncology, Shantou, China

<sup>2</sup> University of Nevada Las Vegas, Department of Medical Physics, Las Vegas, USA

<sup>3</sup> The Queen's Medical Center, Hamamatsu/Queen's PET Imaging Center and Departments of Radiation Oncology and Oncology Research, Honolulu, USA

<sup>4</sup> University of Hawaii, John A. Burns School of Medicine, Honolulu, Hawaii

**Abstract— Purpose:** To investigate the technical feasibility of SBRT dose painting using  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF positron emission tomography (PET) scans guidance in patients with spine oligometastases from prostate cancer.

**Materials/methods:** Six patients with 15 spine oligometastatic lesions from prostate cancer who had  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET/CT scan prior to treatment were retrospectively included. GTV<sub>reg</sub> was delineated according to the regular tumor boundary shown on PET and/or CT images; and GTV<sub>MATV</sub> was contoured based on a net metabolically active tumor volume (MATV) defined by 60% of the SUVmax values on  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET images. The PTVs (PTV<sub>reg</sub> and PTV<sub>MATV</sub>) were defined as respective GTVs (plus involved entire vertebral body for PTV<sub>reg</sub>) with a 3-mm isotropic expansion margin. Three 1-fraction SBRT plans using VMAT technique along with 10 MV flattened filter free (FFF) beams (Plan<sub>24Gy</sub>, Plan<sub>24-27Gy</sub>, and Plan<sub>24-30Gy</sub>) were generated for each patient. All plans included a dose of 24 Gy prescribed to PTV<sub>reg</sub>. The Plan<sub>24-27Gy</sub> and Plan<sub>24-30Gy</sub> also included a simultaneous boost dose of 27 Gy or 30 Gy prescribed to the PTV<sub>MATV</sub>, respectively. The feasibility of  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET-guided SBRT dose escalation was evaluated by its ability to achieve 100% of the prescription dose to cover at least 90% of the PTV volume while adhering to organ-at-risk (OAR) dose constraints.

**Results:** In all 33 SBRT plans generated, the planning objectives and dose constraints were met without exception. Plan<sub>24-27Gy</sub> and Plan<sub>24-30Gy</sub> had a significantly higher dose in PTV<sub>MATV</sub> than Plan<sub>24Gy</sub> ( $p < 0.05$ ), respectively, while maintaining a similar OAR sparing profile.

**Conclusion:** Using VMAT with FFF beams to incorporate a simultaneous  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET-guided radiation boost dose up to 30 Gy into a SBRT plan is technically feasible without violating normal tissue tolerances. The relationship between local control and normal tissue toxicity during  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET-guided dose escalation in SBRT should be validated in clinical trials.

**Keywords—**  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET, Dose painting, SBRT, spine oligometastases, oligometastatic prostate cancer.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Aggressive stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) using image guidance to locally deliver an ablative radiation dose

for spine oligometastases may potentially impact local tumor control and/or possibly improve survival duration [1]. Recent clinical evidence has shown that SBRT using high dose with either a single fraction or a limited number of fractions can lead to excellent pain control as well as local tumor control in patients with spine oligometastases [2]. However, as a dose limiting factor, proximity to spinal cord often precludes SBRT delivering the full prescription dose (PD) and/or escalating dose to the planning target volume (PTV) of spine oligometastases, thus compromising the therapeutic ratio.

Advances in molecular imaging including positron emitting tomography (PET) allow us to selectively identify a metabolically active tumor volume (MATV) within the anatomical boundaries of a spine oligometastasis. PET/CT imaging using sodium fluoride labeled with fluoride-18 ( $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF) as tracer has been applied to evaluate bone metastases in various malignancies including metastatic prostate cancer [3-4]. Skeletal MATVs defined by the increased uptake of  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF reflect the areas of increased regional blood flow and mineral turnover characterizing these metastatic lesions [3-4]. Using SBRT with a simultaneous integrated dose boost (dose painting) to this higher risk volume might, on an individual basis, safely improve the local control without violating normal tissue tolerances.

Furthermore, it is postulated that the microenvironment of each bone metastasis from prostate cancer forms a tumor ecosystem containing host noncancer cells in addition to prostate cancer cells, in which tumor cells interact with both osteoclasts and osteoblasts to exacerbate bone destruction, alter the genotype and phenotype of the host facilitating cells, and increase cancer cell growth [5]. The strategy of delivering differential doses using SBRT with a simultaneous integrated dose boost to MATV offers the option of irradiating host facilitating cells simultaneously with the cancer cells in the tumor ecosystem.

In this study, we investigated the technical feasibility of SBRT dose painting using  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET scans guidance in patients with spine oligometastases from prostate cancer. The isodose distribution and dosimetric parameters in

SBRT treatment plans with and without a simultaneous integrated boost in MATV using volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT) delivery technique with flattening-filter free (FFF) beams were statistically compared.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### A. Patients and $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET/CT imaging

As a proof-of-concept, six patients with 15 spine oligometastatic lesions from prostate cancer who had  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET/CT scan prior to treatment were retrospectively included in this study from The Cancer Imaging Archive of NIH/NCI (delegated to Washington University in St. Louis). All  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET/CT image collections in The Cancer Imaging Archive of NIH/NCI have been anonymized to remove all protected health information under a Washington University in St. Louis IRB protocol. The procedures followed in this study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000 and 2008.

The selection criteria were PET/CT findings consistent with spine metastases with 1 to 5 lesions. The prescribed injected  $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF dose was 3 mCi IV. Imaging was performed on a Phillips Gemini TF PET/CT scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Inc., Cleveland, OH) based on  $4 \times 4 \times 22\text{mm}$  LYSO (lutetium yttrium orthosilicate) crystal detection elements covering 18 cm axial field of view (FOV) and 57 cm imaging transaxial FOV. The time of flight resolution is 585 ps. The scanner achieves a spatial resolution of 4.8mm at the center of the FOV. Data were reconstructed using the RAMLA iterative OSEM algorithm using 3 iterations and 33 subsets, along with CT based attenuation correction as well as randoms, normalization, dead time, and a model based scatter correction. The CT component of the scanner is a 16 slice helical CT. The CT images were generated using the 16 slice helical CT component of PET/CT scanner with 120 KV, 60 mAs setting.

### B. Contouring

Coregistered PET/CT images were transferred to an image analysis workstation (MIM Maestro 6.2.7, MIM Software, Inc., Cleveland, OH) for contouring. Definitions of gross tumor volume (GTV), clinical target volume (CTV), and PTV as well as organs-at-risk (OARs) were based on RTOG protocol 0631 [6]. The detailed structure contouring was summarized at table 1.

### C. SBRT planning

Final contour data was transferred to an Eclipse Treatment Planning System V11 workstation (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA). The SBRT plans were designed using volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT) technique with flattening filter free beams (10 MV, 1400MU/min) in a Varian TrueBeam Linear Accelerator (Varian Medical Systems, Inc. Palo Alto, CA).

Three plans (Plan<sub>24Gy</sub>, Plan<sub>24-27Gy</sub>, and Plan<sub>24-30Gy</sub>) were created. All plans were scheduled for 1 fraction. In all plans, PTV<sub>reg</sub> was prescribed with a dose of 24 Gy. For Plan<sub>24-27Gy</sub> and Plan<sub>24-30Gy</sub>, a simultaneous MATV boost dose of 27 Gy or 30 Gy was also prescribed to PTV<sub>MATV</sub>, respectively. Coverage for PTV<sub>reg</sub> and PTV<sub>MATV</sub> was based on at least 90% of the structure receiving at minimum 100% of the prescription dose (i.e., D90%  $\geq$  100% of prescription dose). Dose constraints for OARs were based on previous study [2]. Planning objectives and OARs' constraints for the plans are listed in Table 1.

Table 1 Dose coverage requirements and organs-at-risk constraints

Structure	Contouring	Plan <sub>24Gy</sub>	Plan <sub>24-27Gy</sub>	Plan <sub>24-30Gy</sub>
GTV <sub>reg</sub>	Gross disease visualized on $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET and/or CT			
GTV <sub>MATV</sub>	60% SUVmax of $^{18}\text{F}$ -NaF PET within GTV with clinician's adjustment			
CTV <sub>reg</sub>	CTV plus involved entire vertebral body			
CTV <sub>MATV</sub>	Same as GTV <sub>MATV</sub>			
PTV <sub>reg</sub>	CTV <sub>reg</sub> plus 3 mm isotropic expansion margin. Exclusion from spinal cord by 3mm and direct exclusion from esophagus	D90% $\geq$ 24 Gy To ensure the sparing of spinal cord, there is no limits on dose heterogeneity	D90% $\geq$ 24 Gy	D90% $\geq$ 24 Gy
PTV <sub>MATV</sub>	CTV <sub>MATV</sub> plus 3 mm isotropic expansion margin. Exclusion from spinal cord by 3mm and direct exclusion from esophagus	Not applicable	D90% $\geq$ 27 Gy To allow gradients for MATV boosting and to spare the dose to spinal cord, there is no limits on dose heterogeneity	D90% $\geq$ 30 Gy To allow gradients for MATV boosting and to spare the dose to spinal cord, there is no limits on dose heterogeneity
Spinal Cord	10 cm above the superior extent of the PTV <sub>reg</sub> and continuing on every CT slice to at least 10 cm below the inferior extent of the PTV <sub>reg</sub>	D <sub>max,0.03cc</sub> < 14 Gy	Same as Plan <sub>24Gy</sub>	Same as Plan <sub>24Gy</sub>
Partial spinal cord	5-6 mm above the superior extent of the PTV <sub>reg</sub> to 5-6 mm below the inferior extent of the PTV <sub>reg</sub>	D <sub>max,0.03cc</sub> < 14 Gy; V10Gy < 10%	Same as Plan <sub>24Gy</sub>	Same as Plan <sub>24Gy</sub>
Esophagus	10 cm above and below the extent of PTV <sub>reg</sub>	V14.5 Gy < 2.5 cc; V15 Gy < 2 cc	Same as Plan <sub>24Gy</sub>	Same as Plan <sub>24Gy</sub>
Bowl		V16Gy < 5cc	Same as Plan <sub>24Gy</sub>	Same as Plan <sub>24Gy</sub>

Abbreviations: Dx%: dose received by at least x% of the volume; VxGy: volume receiving at least x Gy; Dmean: the mean dose received within the designated volume; Dmax,0.03cc: the maximum point dose (size: 0.03 cc) received within the designated volume; Dmin: the minimum dose received within the designated volume; Dmax: the maximum dose received within the designated volume.

Plans were optimized for the RapidArc technique (Varian Medical Systems, Inc. Palo Alto, CA) with 2 fully rotational arcs with the collimator angle set to  $\pm 20^\circ$ . The addi-