
On Asymptotically Optimal Algorithm for One Modification of Planar 3-dimensional Assignment Problem

Yury Glazkov

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia
ygv@gorodok.net

Summary. In the paper the m -layer planar 3-dimensional assignment problem is considered. It is an NP-hard modification of well-known planar 3-dimensional assignment problem. Approximation algorithm, proposed by Gimadi and Korkishko is analysed. Its asymptotical optimality for a special class of random instances is proved.

1 Introduction

Let $C = (c_{ijk})$ be a $n \times n \times n$ 3-dimensional matrix with nonnegative elements. The problem of finding n transpositions π^1, \dots, π^n with constraints $\pi^i(k) \neq \pi^j(k)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ which minimize (or maximize) the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \pi^i(k)$ is a well-known planar 3-dimensional assignment problem (3-PAP).

If the first size of input matrix and the number of required transpositions is decreased to m , where $1 < m < n$, the problem is called m -layer planar 3-dimensional assignment problem (m-3-PAP). Though this modification is a simplification of the problem (one can interpret m-3-PAP as 3-PAP with $c_{ijk} = 0$ for $i > m$) it remains NP-hard problem (see f.e. [1]).

For 3-PAP an approximation algorithm was constructed by Kravtsov and Krachkovsky ([5]), but the proof of its asymptotical optimality for the problem with random instances failed (see [8]). For m-3-PAP an approximation algorithm was proposed in [3] and its asymptotical optimality was proved for the case when $m \sim \ln n$ and c_{ijk} has uniform distribution. Below this algorithm is exposed to more thorough analysis and its asymptotical optimality is proved for the case when $m \sim n^\theta$ ($\theta = \text{const}$) and c_{ijk} has minorized type distribution function. Also performance estimates of the algorithm are improved.

2 Approximation Algorithm A for m-3-PAP

Below we consider m-3-PAP formulated as above.

2.1 Algorithm A Description

Stage i , $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Consecutively for $j = 1, \dots, n - 2(i - 1)$ do:

1. Find $k_j = \arg \min_{k=1, \dots, n} c_{ijk}$.

2. Set $\pi^i(j) = k_j$.

3. Forbid (set to infinity) elements $c_{ij'k_j}$ for

$j' = j + 1, \dots, n$ and $c_{i'jk_j}$ for $i' = i + 1, \dots, m$.

Use Hopcroft-Karp algorithm proposed in [4] to solve (classical) assignment problem for the matrix formed by the rest $2(i - 1)$ lines ($j = n - 2(i - 1) + 1, \dots, n$) of i 'th layer of matrix C and construct the rest part of transposition π^i according to this solution.

2.2 Correctness and Running Time of Algorithm A

Lemma 1. *Algorithm A is correct for $m < n$ and its running time is $O(mn^2 + m^{7/2})$.*

Proof. Correctness of the algorithm is determined by existence of a feasible (without infinite elements) solution of (classical) assignment problem in the second part of each stage. As one can see from the description of the algorithm, on i 'th stage of it there will be at least $2(i - 1)$ unforbidden (finite) elements in each of lines (c_{ij}) for $j = n - 2(i - 1) + 1, \dots, n$. According to Ore theorem ([6]) it will be enough for the existence of required solution.

As the first part of each stage runs in time $O(n^2)$ and the second part (Hopcroft-Karp algorithm) runs with $O(m^{5/2})$ total running time of all m stages of algorithm A will be $O(mn^2 + m^{7/2})$.

The proof is complete.

3 Probabilistic Analysis of Algorithm A for Random Instances

Let us use the notations presented in [3]. Suppose algorithm A for solving optimization problem. By $F_A(I)$, $F^*(I)$ we denote the values of the objective function when solving an individual problem I by algorithm A and precisely respectively.

Algorithm A has *performance estimates* $(\varepsilon_n, \delta_n)$ in the class K_n of n -dimensional minimization problems if for all n the following inequality is satisfied:

$$\Pr\{F_A(I) > (1 + \varepsilon_n)F^*(I)\} \leq \delta_n \quad (1)$$

Here $\Pr\{J\}$ is the probability of a corresponding event J . ε_n is called a *performance ratio*, δ_n is a *fault probability* of algorithm A .

An algorithm is called *asymptotically optimal* in the problem class $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_n$ if there exist its performance estimates $(\varepsilon_n, \delta_n)$ such that $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the paper we consider the following type of random instances. Let all elements c_{ijk} of input matrix C be independent random variables distributed on segment $[a_n, b_n]$ where $b_n > a_n > 0$. Let $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $b_n/a_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.