

7. M. J. Nye: *Molecular Reality* (Elsevier Publishing Company, Amsterdam 1972)
8. G. Pohl: The theory of Brownian motion – one hundred years old. In: *The Global and the Local: The History of Science and the Cultural Integration of Europe*, ed. by M. Kokowski (Cracow 2007, 419–424). Online as: <http://www.2iceshs.cyfronet.pl/proceedings.html>

## Bub–Clifton Theorem

*Jeffrey Bub*

The two fundamental ‘no go’ theorems for hidden variable reconstructions of the ► quantum statistics, the ► Kochen–Specker theorem [4] and ► Bell’s theorem [1], can be formulated as results about the impossibility of associating a classical probability space  $(X, \mathfrak{F}, P_\rho)$  with a quantum system in the state  $\rho$ , when certain constraints are placed on the probability measure  $P_\rho$ . The Bub–Clifton theorem [2, 3], by contrast, is a ‘go’ theorem: a positive result about the possibility of associating a classical probability space with a quantum system in a given state.

If  $P_\rho$  is required to satisfy the conditions:

- (a)  $P_\rho(a, b, \dots | A, B, \dots)$  is a classical probability measure defined for all eigenvalues  $a, b, \dots$  of the ► observables  $A, B, \dots$  in some set of observables  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- (b) If  $A, A', \dots \in \mathcal{E}$  commute, then  $P_\rho(a, a', \dots | A, A', \dots)$  coincides with the quantum mechanical probability assigned by  $\rho$ .

then the existence of  $P_\rho$  is equivalent to the requirement that the set of numbers:

$$\{P_\rho(a, a', \dots | A, A', \dots); A, A' \in \mathcal{E} \text{ commute}\}$$

should satisfy a finite family of inequalities (Boole’s ‘conditions of possible experience’), so the non-existence of  $P_\rho$  entails a violation of at least one inequality (see Pitowsky [6, 7]). If  $P_\rho$  exists, then it is a weighted average of pure states (characteristic functions onto 1-element subsets of  $X$  or 2-valued  $(0,1)$  probability measures).

The Kochen–Specker and Bell theorems can be formulated (following Pitowsky) as follows:

**The Kochen–Specker Theorem.** *There is a set of observables  $\mathcal{E}$  such that for all  $\rho$  the classical probability measure  $P_\rho$  does not exist.*

**Bell’s Theorem.** *There is a set of local observables  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$  and a state  $\rho \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$  such that the classical probability measure  $P_\rho$  does not exist.*

The Bub–Clifton is the positive result:

**The Bub–Clifton Theorem.** *For every pure state  $\rho = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$  and every observable  $R$ , there is a maximal extension  $\mathcal{E}$  of  $\{R\}$  for which there exists a classical probability measure  $P_\rho$ . The extension  $\mathcal{E}$  is unique if we require invariance with respect to automorphisms of the subspace structure of  $\mathcal{H}$  (the projective geometry of  $\mathcal{H}$ ) that preserve  $\rho$  and  $R$ .*

The pure state  $\rho$  can be expressed as a linear  $\blacktriangleright$  superposition of orthogonal 1-dimensional projection operators ( $\blacktriangleright$  projection)  $\rho_r$  onto the non-null eigenspaces  $\{V_r\}$  of  $R$ :  $\rho = \bigvee_r \rho_r = \sum_r \rho_r$ . The theorem shows that the set of observables  $\mathcal{E}$  contains all the maximal observables whose spectral measures comprise:

- (i) The 1-dimensional projection operators  $\rho_r$ ,
- (ii) The 1-dimensional projection operators onto any orthogonal basis in the orthocomplement of the subspace spanned by the projections  $\rho_r$ , i.e., the ‘null space’  $V_{\text{null}}$  that is the range of the projection operator  $I - \sum_r \rho_r$ ,

and all the non-maximal observables which are functions of these maximal observables.

Equivalently,  $\mathcal{E}$  consists of all the observables whose eigenspaces are spanned by the rays defined by (i) and (ii) above.

According to the theorem, even though the set  $\mathcal{E}$  contains non-commuting observables, there exists a classical probability measure  $P_\rho$  for the observables in  $\mathcal{E}$ , i.e., a measure space  $(X, \mathfrak{F}, P_\rho)$ , where the elements of the space  $X$  are the projection operators  $\rho_r$ , which are in 1-1 correspondence with the 2-valued homomorphisms—representing bivalent truth-value assignments—on the lattice of subspaces generated by the 1-dimensional projectors in (i) and (ii) above, and hence in 1-1 correspondence with the 2-valued homomorphisms on the ranges of values of the observables in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Nakayama [5] has constructed a topos-theoretic extension of the theorem.

A quantum measurement interaction can be represented schematically as follows:

$$|s\rangle|r\rangle \xrightarrow{U(t)} \sum_i c_i |s_i\rangle |r_i\rangle$$

where  $|s\rangle = \sum_i c_i |s_i\rangle$  is the initial state of the measured system expressed as a linear superposition of the eigenstates  $|s_i\rangle$  of the measured observable  $S$ ,  $|r\rangle$  is the initial state of the measuring instrument with indicator or ‘pointer’ observable  $R$ , and  $U(t)$  is the unitary transformation implementing the measurement interaction between the system and the measuring instrument that sets up a correlation between eigenvalues of  $S$  and pointer positions. (Note that for the systems we use as measuring instruments, the pointer observable  $R$  commutes with the instrument-environment interaction Hamiltonian, so the correlation between eigenvalues of  $S$  and pointer positions  $R$  induced by the system-instrument Hamiltonian is preserved under the instrument-environment interaction.) If we take the pointer observable  $R$  as ‘preferred,’ in the sense that it always has a definite (determinate) value, then