

# Direct Chosen-Ciphertext Secure Hierarchical ID-Based Encryption Schemes\*

Jong Hwan Park and Dong Hoon Lee

Center for Information Security Technologies(CIST),  
Korea University, Seoul, Korea  
{decartian,donghlee}@korea.ac.kr

**Abstract.** We describe two Hierarchical Identity Based Encryption (HIBE) schemes which are selective-ID chosen ciphertext secure. Our constructions are based on the Boneh-Boyen and the Boneh-Boyen-Goh HIBE schemes respectively. We apply the signature-based method to their HIBE schemes. The proposed  $l$ -level HIBE schemes are directly derived from  $l$ -level HIBE schemes secure against chosen plaintext attacks without padding on identities with one-bit. This is more compact than the known generic transformation suggested by Canetti et al..

**Keywords:** Hierarchical Identity Based Encryption, Chosen Ciphertext Security.

## 1 Introduction

Hierarchical Identity Based Encryption (HIBE) [17,16,4,5] is a generalization of Identity Based Encryption (IBE) [18,7,19,15] which allows a sender to encrypt a message for a receiver using the receiver's identity as a public key. In an  $l$ -level HIBE scheme, an identity is represented as ID-vectors of length at most  $l$ , and a private key for identity at depth  $k(< l)$  can be used to derive private keys of its descendant identities. HIBE schemes could be applied to design forward-secure encryption schemes [12,20], and to convert a broadcast encryption scheme in the symmetric key setting into a public key broadcast encryption scheme [14]. Recently, Boyen et al. [11] suggested an anonymous HIBE scheme which mainly gives several application in the public key encryption with keyword search [1].

To prove the security for HIBE schemes without random oracles, Canetti et al. [12] defined a weaker security model called selective-ID security model, and proposed a HIBE scheme. Their scheme is selective-ID secure without random oracles, but that is not efficient. Later, Boneh and Boyen [4] provided an efficient HIBE (denoted by  $BB_1$ ) scheme, and thereafter Boneh, Boyen, and Goh [5] presented an improved HIBE (denoted by BBG) scheme where the number of

---

\* This research was supported by the MIC(Ministry of Information and Communication), Korea, under the ITRC(Information Technology Research Center) support program supervised by the IITA(Institute of Information Technology Advancement)(IITA-2006-(C1090-0603-0025)).

ciphertext elements and pairing operations are independent of the hierarchy depth. These two HIBE schemes suggested by Boneh et al. were provably secure in the selective-ID model without random oracles. More recently, the techniques of constructing the  $\text{BB}_1$  and  $\text{BBG}$  schemes were combined with a public key broadcast encryption scheme [8] in order to achieve the forward security [2].

Chosen ciphertext security of the  $\text{BB}_1$  and  $\text{BBG}$  schemes are obtained from the generic transformation, proposed by Canetti, Halevi, and Katz [13]. The  $\text{CHK}$  transformation enables construction of an  $l$ -level HIBE scheme selective-ID secure against chosen ciphertext attacks based on any  $(l + 1)$ -level HIBE scheme selective-ID secure against chosen plaintext attacks. The  $\text{CHK}$  transformation, improved upon by [9,10], is generic and extended to the case of adaptive-ID security model (i.e., the full security model) [6].

The  $\text{CHK}$  transformation requires one-time signature scheme to check the consistency of ciphertext. The important point is that a verification key associated with the one-time signature needs to be embedded into ciphertext in encryption procedure. For this, the authors [13] add one level to an identity hierarchy and set the verification key as an identity. Thus, the  $\text{CHK}$  transformation considered an  $(l + 1)$ -level HIBE scheme as a subroutine in constructing an  $l$ -level HIBE scheme secure against chosen ciphertext attacks. We notice that the  $\text{CHK}$  transformation needs extra one-bit padding on identities, due to their security proof.

In this paper we construct two HIBE schemes which are provably secure against chosen ciphertext attacks in the selective-ID model. Two schemes are based on the  $\text{BB}_1$  and  $\text{BBG}$  schemes respectively. We apply the idea of the  $\text{CHK}$  transformation to their schemes, using one-time signature. At first sight, our constructions appear to apply the  $\text{CHK}$  transformation to the  $\text{BB}_1$  and  $\text{BBG}$  schemes, but we obtain chosen ciphertext security of  $l$ -level HIBE schemes from  $l$ -level HIBE schemes secure against chosen plaintext attacks *directly*, without padding on identities with one-bit. Though our approach is not generic, that could be also applied to the concrete schemes [2] with structures of the  $\text{BB}_1$  and  $\text{BBG}$  schemes.

The important algebraic property for security proofs is the one introduced by Boneh et al. [4]. Briefly speaking, for random elements  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  in  $\mathbb{G}$  (where  $\mathbb{G}$  is generated by a generator  $g$ ), and random elements  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ , and  $r_3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (where  $r_1$  must be non-zero), we have that

$$g_2^{-r_2/r_1} (g_1^{r_1} g^{r_2})^{r_3} = g_2^u (g_1^{r_1} g^{r_2})^{r_3 - v/r_1}$$

where  $u = \log_g g_1$  and  $v = \log_g g_2$ . For example, if we let  $g_1 = g^a$  and  $g_2 = g^b$ , the value  $g_2^u$  becomes  $g^{ab}$ , and if we let  $g_1 = g^\alpha$  and  $g_2 = g^{\alpha^l}$ , the value  $g_2^u$  becomes  $g^{\alpha^{l+1}}$ . The former plays a central role of proving the security of our first construction based on the  $\text{BB}_1$  scheme, and the latter does in proving the security of our second construction based on the  $\text{BBG}$  scheme.