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Abstract. In the study reported in this paper, the research aims to tackle the question “Do the hardware characteristics of a device affect the viewer’s perceived quality of the media?” Using different hardware devices and media clips that have had different frame rates the viewer’s information assimilation and satisfaction of the media clip was measured. The results suggest that there is a deeper link between the user’s information assimilation than just the hardware that the media is viewed on. On the other hand a user’s satisfaction with clip quality is affected by the alterations in frame rate of the media rather than the device as the satisfaction levels increased regardless of the hardware characteristics.
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1 Introduction

With the ever increasing availability of media content and technological advances in visual displays, there is a general expectation that the media quality is increasing. As an example, media/video clips that are run at a higher frame rate, from a technical point of view, have higher quality because everything appears smoother to the eye of the beholder, the brain not being able to distinguish individual media frames. With higher frame rates come very large bandwidth and processing power requirements, which may be perfectly fine for video conferencing in a building with optical broadband connections and powerful videoconferencing units, but not a handheld mobile device.

Another area key to perceived media quality is the screen resolution of the displaying device. High Definition technology with display resolutions of up to 1920x1080 progressive suggesting ‘a better viewing experience’ is already well-established, with the next step - Quad High Definition - being able to run 3840x2160 progressive.

Although work has been undertaken to assess perceived/subjective media quality in terms of frame rate [1][3], resolution [2], and on specific devices [5][6], to the best of our knowledge, the question of how subjective media quality is impacted when the same content is viewed across different devices has not been explored.
2 Methodology

2.1 Subjects

96 volunteers, aged between 16-38, participated in the experiment. Participation was voluntary, with all subjects being recruited from the staff and student population at Brunel University.

2.2 Hardware Devices

The four devices (Fig. 1) used to run the media in the experiment were a high definition television (22” LCD screen, 16:9 aspect ratio, 1920x1080 resolution), a notebook computer (15.6” LCD screen, 16:9 aspect ratio, 1440x900 resolution), a netbook (10.1” LCD screen, 16:9 aspect ratio, 1024x600 resolution) and a smartphone (3.5” TFT screen, 16:9 aspect ratio, 640x360 resolution).

![Fig. 1. Devices used in our study](image)

2.3 Media Clips

12 video clips, with varying spatial and temporal characteristics, previously employed in perceptual quality studies (e.g. [4]) were used in our experiment. Each video clip was shown at one of three different frame rates: 5, 15, and 25 frames per second.

2.4 Evaluation Metric

The Quality of Perception (QoP) metric, assessing subjective media infotainment quality [4] was used in the experiment. QoP has two components, QoP-IA – information assimilation representing the percentage of informational content assimilated by the end user, and QoP-S, the subjective media quality, expressed on a scale of 1-5.