Abstract It has recently been suggested that nest box studies might bias the measurement of behavioural and life-history traits, because the removal of old nests may reduce the load of ectoparasites. This experimental artefact may have notable effects on nest site choice and breeding success in cavity-breeding birds. We tested (i) if pied flycatchers *Ficedula hypoleuca* prefer clean nest boxes and (ii) if old nest material affects the number of parasites and the breeding success of pied flycatchers. In the first experiment we offered birds one cleaned nest box and one nest box with old nest material from the previous year. The two nest boxes were placed in very similar sites near each other. In this experiment all pied flycatchers clearly preferred “dirty” nest boxes. In the second part of the study we distributed clean nest boxes and dirty ones on the same study area. After breeding was over we counted the number of fleas *Ceratophyllus gallinae* in the nest material. This flea species was the most abundant and probably the most hazardous parasite in the nests. Surprisingly, we found that there were significantly more fleas in the nest boxes with nests of the current year only than in the boxes with nests of both current and previous year. This might explain the preference for the dirty boxes. However, our results do not indicate that the number of fleas affects breeding success in the pied flycatcher.
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Introduction

Since the pioneering work of David Lack (1954) numerous studies of cavity-breeding birds have played an important role in the development of ecological and evolutionary theory. However, Möller (1989, 1992) recently drew attention to a possible artefact in measurements of life-history traits in these nest box studies. He argued that removal of old nest material before the breeding season markedly reduces the load of ectoparasites. If these nest parasites cause mortality and decrease growth of offspring, results originating from nest box studies might be biased in an important way.

Ectoparasites negatively affect their hosts and host reproduction (e.g. Rothschild and Clay 1952), and they have been shown to reduce the attractiveness of nest sites to birds (Moss and Camin 1970; Brown and Brown 1986; Möller 1990). To the contrary, Thompson and Neill (1991) have recently shown that house wrens *Troglodytes aedon* did not prefer nest boxes from which old nest material has been removed to those containing old nests. These birds, however, removed old nests before building their own and so reduced irritation from parasites.

Old nest material may also offer benefits for breeding birds. Erckmann et al. (1990) hypothesized that the presence of old nests in which chicks had been successfully raised could be used as a cue indicating nest site quality. The presence of old nest material may also decrease the time taken for nest building and so reduce costs of this behaviour (Möller 1990; Conrad and Robertson 1993).

Although the pied flycatcher is one of the most studied bird species in behavioural and evolutionary ecology (see review by Lundberg and Alatalo 1992), there is very little information on the effect of ectoparasites on the reproduction of this species. In this study we investigated two specific questions: (i) Does old nest material have any influence on nest site choice of pied flycatchers? (ii) Does the old nest material affect the number of parasites, and breeding success of pied flycatchers?

Methods

Study site and study animals

The study was carried out in Noormarkku (61° N, 21° E), western Finland, during 1991. The study area was coniferous forest domi-
nated by spruce *Picea abies*, with a mixture of pine *Pinus silvestris* and few deciduous trees birch *Betula pubescens* and aspen *Populus tremula*. The pied flycatcher is a migratory cavity-nesting passerine of middle and northern Europe. It arrives at the breeding grounds during May and egg laying begins in late May or at the beginning of June (Lundberg and Alatalo 1992).

Nest box choice

In the first experiment we offered birds two nest boxes, which were placed in very similar sites 4 meters apart. We randomly put previous-year nest material of pied flycatchers into one nest box and left one nest box clean. The boxes were distributed just before the arrival of the pied flycatchers. The height from entrance to bottom, width, and diameter of entrance of the wooden nest-boxes were 15 cm, 10±10 cm, and 3.0 cm, respectively. Nest-boxes were placed 1.5 m from the ground. The distances between nest box pairs (n = 12) were about 100 meters in the study area. We measured breeding parameters and number of fleas in the nests (see methods below) and used the results in the analyses of breeding success.

Number of fleas and breeding success

In the second part of the study we distributed 22 cleaned nest boxes (clean nest boxes) and 22 nest boxes with old nest material from the previous year (dirty nest boxes) on the same large homogenous study area just before the arrival of the pied flycatchers. To ensure sufficient and independent replication we first chose a site for each nest box and then randomly determined treatment of the box. Nest boxes were about 100 m from each other. Nests were inspected every day to determine breeding parameters, nest building, laying, hatching, and fledging. Nestlings were weighed when they were 9 days old with a Pesola spring balance. After breeding we collected all nest material from the nest boxes and using a dry funnel method (28±28 cm) we extracted invertebrates from the nests. The flea *Ceratophyllus gallinae* was the most abundant and probably the most hazardous parasite in the nests.

**Results**

Eight pied flycatcher females occupied nest boxes in the nest choice experiment. All these birds clearly preferred dirty nest boxes (sign test, two-way P = 0.008). The clean boxes in the pairs remained empty. The remaining four pairs of boxes were occupied by great tits *Parus major*, which seemed to prefer clean boxes (4/0).

There were clearly more fleas in the clean nest boxes (x = 639, SE = 193.6, n = 7) than in the dirty ones (x = 138, SE = 32.8, n = 19) (U = 22, two-tailed P = 0.011) (Fig. 1). The dirty boxes of the nest choice experiment (n = 8) were included in the analyses as their number of fleas did not differ from the dirty boxes of the second study (n = 11) (see Material and methods) (U = 26, P = 0.149).

The number of fleas did not affect breeding success of pied flycatchers. There was no significant correlation between number of fleas and mass of nestlings (partial correlation, controlled for the number of nestlings, r = 0.38, n = 24, P = 0.067) (Fig. 2) and number of fledglings (Pearson correlation, r = 0.02, n = 28, P = 0.920). The number of fleas was log-transformed to approach a normal distribution.

Time of nest building was significantly shorter among birds breeding in the clean boxes (Table 1). The other breeding characteristics, the number of eggs, the number of hatchlings, the weight of nestlings, or the number of fledged young did not differ between the clean and the dirty nest boxes. Neither did the laying date of the first egg which may greatly affect other breeding characters of pied flycatchers (Lundberg and Alatalo 1992) (Table 1).

| Table 1 Breeding characteristics of pied flycatchers in different types of nest boxes |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Clean boxes                          | Dirty boxes    |
|                                       | x   | SE  | n   | x    | SE  | n   | U   | P   |
| Clutch size                          | 6.22| 0.22| 9   | 6.20| 0.18| 20  | 93  | 0.906|
| Brood size at hatching               | 6.22| 0.22| 9   | 5.95| 0.17| 20  | 73.5| 0.451|
| Brood size at fledging               | 5.22| 0.76| 9   | 5.50| 0.37| 20  | 87  | 0.906|
| Laying date of the first egg<sup>a</sup> | 8.78| 1.22| 9   | 8.60| 0.60| 20  | 76.5| 0.540|
| Mass of nestlings, g<sup>b</sup>     | 14.3 | 0.34| 8   | 14.1| 0.38| 17  | 65.5| 0.907|
| Time of nest building, days<sup>a</sup> | 4.71| 0.42| 7   | 6.94| 0.62| 17  | 18.5| 0.010|

<sup>a</sup>Days since 31 May
<sup>b</sup>Nestlings were weighed when 9 days old

**Fig. 1** Number of fleas *Ceratophyllus gallinae* in clean nest boxes (old nest material removed) and dirty boxes (with old nest material of previous year) after breeding of pied flycatchers.

**Fig. 2** Correlation between the number of fleas in nests after the end of the breeding season and mass of nestlings when 9 days old.