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Summary. The search for a functional relationship between diversity and stability has thus far been futile. Recent advances in cybernetics suggest that progress may be achieved if diversity, stability and redundancy are considered to be cofactors in determining the key dependent variable – the capacity for self-organization.

The relationship between complexity and stability in natural communities has captivated the attention of ecologists for over 25 years (Odum, 1953). Observation indicates that many-specied, highly connected communities show less intense random fluctuations than their simpler counterparts. The idea that a redundant network of flows can better persist after disruption of some of its members than a corresponding linear chain has resulted in the intuition that “complexity infers stability.” In his influential treatise, Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems, May (1973) warns against too narrow a focus upon this hypothesis by offering counter examples of a random nature where, in fact, complexity infers instability in the local sense. Much of the reaction to May’s remarks has been chronicled by MacDonald (1978), and the results of the debate should have influence on the further course of investigation and action in the realms of ecological management, economics, and social science.

Most of the current discussions, however, still center about the couple complexity-stability. To avoid narrow focus on this relationship one should consider other system properties which may interact with these interesting features. For example, recent articles on the application of information theory to ecosystems and on cybernetic theory suggest that flow redundancy and the capability for self-organization may be combined with complexity and stability into a unified framework for the description of the structure and function of ecosystems.

To begin this synthesis it is useful to recall that Odum’s original hypothesis stressed that ecosystem self-regulation was dependent upon the choice of flow pathways within the trophic structure. Soon thereafter, MacArthur (1955) at-
tempted to quantify the choice of pathways in ecosystems by applying the Shannon-Wiener measure of information to the diversity of flows in a trophic web. Diversity of flows, however, was soon replaced by the more easily-measured diversity of species, and a major digression from Odum's original concept was under way. Much later, Mulholland (1975) returned to the consideration of flows in the language of information theory and pointed out that complexity is not necessarily synonymous with choice of pathways. The complexity, as expressed by MacArthur, and the redundancy of pathways differ by a amount known in information theory as the average mutual information.

To be more specific, it is useful to consider the isolated measurement, $T_i$, of the total amount of energy flowing through the $i^{th}$ compartment of an ecosystem with $n$ compartments. The fraction of the total system throughput associated with compartment $i$ thereby becomes

$$Q_i = \frac{T_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_j}. \quad (1)$$

Since these measurements were made in isolation, there is maximal uncertainty about the intercompartmental exchanges as expressed by the formula

$$C = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \ln Q_i. \quad (2)$$

Now if the exchanges among compartments are observed over a convenient interval of time, it might be ascertained that the fraction $g_{ij}$ of $Q_i$ flows from compartment $j$. The amount of uncertainty about flows within the system has been reduced by an amount

$$I = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{kj} Q_j \ln \left[ g_{kj} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{ki} Q_i \right] \quad (3)$$

as a consequence of measuring all the intercompartmental flows. This average mutual information may also be thought of as a measure of the information content of the system flow structure as viewed by an outside observer. The residual uncertainty

$$S = C - I \quad (4)$$

expresses the information associated with the choice of alternate pathways. In fact the redundancy, $R$, of the structure is expressed by the quotient $S/C$, and the information content of the flow structure may, therefore, be written

$$I = C(1 - R). \quad (5)$$

The effect of disturbance upon the system is generally to decrease the number of compartments and disturb the flows between them. How, then, does the system respond so as to maintain or increase its structural integrity?