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Abstract. Two-person games are defined in which the payoffs are vectors. Necessary and sufficient conditions for optimal mixed strategies are developed, and examples are presented.
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1. Introduction

An unresolved question in game theory has been whether there exists a theory for vector payoffs similar to standard results. Blackwelt established in Ref. 1 an asymptotic analog to the minimax theorem for such payoffs, however, that is used in repeated games. Recent work by Nieuwenhuis (Ref. 2) and Corley (Ref. 3) suggests a generalization to vector payoffs using the following notion of vector maximization (or efficiency or Pareto optimality). Let \( u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n), \) \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \). If \( u_i \leq v_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n, \) and \( u_j < v_j \), for some \( j \), we write \( u < v \) or \( v > u \). The point \( u \in D \) is said to be a vector maximum of \( D \), denoted \( u \in \text{v max} \ D \), if \( u \leq v \), for all \( v \in D \). Vector minima and \( v \text{ min} \ D \) have similar definitions.

A two-person (noncooperative) bimatrix vector-valued game is defined as follows. Player I has \( r \) strategies and Player II \( s \) strategies. The payoff with respect to I is represented by an \( r \times s \) matrix \( A = [a_{ij}] \) of \( n \)-tuples \( a_{ij} = (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \), so \( A \) comprises the \( n \) real payoff matrices \( A_k = [a_{ij}^k] \), \( k = 1, \ldots, n \). Similarly, the payoff with respect to II is represented by an \( r \times s \) matrix \( B = [b_{ij}] \) of \( n \)-tuples \( b_{ij} = (b_{i1}^k, \ldots, b_{in}^k) \in \mathbb{R}^n \) determining \( n \) real payoff matrices \( B_k = [b_{ij}^k], \ k = 1, \ldots, n \). Thus, when I plays his \( i \)th strategy and II his \( j \)th strategy, the payoff is \( (a_{ij}^1, \ldots, a_{ij}^n) \) to I and \( (b_{ij}^1, \ldots, b_{ij}^n) \) to II. Mixed strategies are allowed as usual. Player I assigns a probability \( x_i \)
to choosing strategy $i$, and II assigns $y_j$ to strategy $j$. These mixed strategies are given by the column vectors by the column vectors $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_r)'$ and $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_s)'$ that are members, respectively, of the sets

$$X = \left\{ x: \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i = 1, x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \ldots, r \right\},$$

$$Y = \left\{ y: \sum_{j=1}^{s} y_j = 1, y_j \geq 0, j = 1, \ldots, s \right\}.$$

The expected payoff of this game is therefore

$$x'Ay = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s} (x_iy_ja_{ij}^1, \ldots, x_iy_ja_{ij}^n) = (x'A_1y, \ldots, x'A_ny),$$

(1)

for $I$, and

$$x'By = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{s} (x_iy_jb_{ij}^1, \ldots, x_iy_jb_{ij}^n) = (x'B_1y, \ldots, x'B_ny),$$

(2)

for $II$. The strategy pair $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is said to be an equilibrium point for this game if

$$\mathbf{x}'A\mathbf{y} \preceq \mathbf{x}'A\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \quad x \in X,$$

(3)

and

$$\mathbf{x}'B\hat{\mathbf{y}} \preceq \mathbf{x}'B\mathbf{y}, \quad y \in Y;$$

(4)

the associated expectations $\mathbf{x}'A\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\mathbf{x}'B\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ are called equilibrium values. When $B = -A$, the result is a zero-sum vector-valued game. In that case (3), (4) can be combined into

$$x'A\hat{\mathbf{y}} \preceq \mathbf{x}'A\hat{\mathbf{y}} \preceq \mathbf{x}'A\mathbf{y}, \quad x \in X, y \in Y,$$

(5)

and $\mathbf{x}'A\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is the equilibrium value. It is obvious that the above definitions reduce to the standard game-theoretic concepts (see Refs. 4 and 5) when $n = 1$.

Two related notions for zero-sum vector-valued games are generalized minimax and maximin points. A strategy pair $(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$ for a zero-sum vector-valued game is said to be a minimax point if $\mathbf{x}'A\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is a member of

$$v \min \left[ \bigcup_{y \in Y} v \max \{x'Ay: x \in X\} \right];$$

(6)

$\mathbf{x}'A\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ is the associated minimax value. A maximin point is similarly defined with respect to the set

$$v \max \left[ \bigcup_{x \in X} v \min \{x'Ay: y \in Y\} \right].$$

(7)