

## Height pairing between algebraic cycles

A.A. Beilinson

### Introduction

1. Height pairing in geometric situation (global construction)
2. Local indices over non-archimedean places
3. Local indices over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$
4. Height pairing over number fields
5. Some conjectures and problems

### Introduction

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; assume that its  $L$ -functions  $L(H^j(X), s)$  satisfy the standard analytic continuation conjectures. Let  $CH^i(X)$  be the group of codimension  $i$  cycles on  $X$  modulo rational equivalence, and  $CH^i(X)^\circ \subset CH^i(X)$  be the subgroup of cycles homologous to zero on  $X(\mathbb{C})$ ; in particular  $CH^1(X)^\circ = \text{Pic}^\circ(X)(\mathbb{C})$ . The conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer claims that at  $s = 1$  the function  $L(H^1(X), s)$  has zero of order  $\text{rk } CH^1(X)^\circ$  with the leading coefficient equal to the determinant of Neron-Tate canonical height pairing multiplied by the period matrix determinant up to some rational multiple (we do not need its exact value in what follows). As for the other  $L$ -functions, Swinnerton-Dyer conjectured [20] that the function  $L(H^{2i-1}(X), s)$  has at  $s=i$  (=the middle of the critical strip) the zero of order  $\text{rk } CH^i(X)^\circ$ . The aim of this note is to define the canonical height pairing between  $CH^i(X)^\circ$  and  $CH^{\dim X+1-i}(X)^\circ$  that coincides with the Neron-Tate one for  $i=1$  and whose determinant multiplied by the period matrix determinant should conjecturally be equal up to a rational multiple (of the nature I cannot imagine) to the leading coefficient of  $L(H^{2i-1}(X), s)$  at  $s=i$  \*). This pairing should also occur in Riemann-Roch type theorems à la Arakelov-Faltings (see [15]).

---

\*) In fact our height pairing is defined on a certain subgroup of  $CH^i(X)^\circ$ ; under the very plausible (:=of rank of evidence far higher than B-SwD) local conjectures this subgroups should coincide with the whole  $CH^i(X)^\circ$ .

The paper goes as follows. To motivate the basic construction, we begin with the simpler geometric case: here our base field is a field  $k(C)$  of rational functions on a smooth projective curve  $C$ . Then the height pairing  $\langle, \rangle$  comes from the global Poincaré duality on  $\ell$ -adic cohomology. We may compute  $\langle, \rangle$  in terms of local data round the points of  $C$ : if  $a_1, a_2$  are cycles with disjoint supports that are homologous to zero on  $X \otimes \overline{k(C)}$  and  $v \in C$  is a closed point then the local link index  $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle_v$  is defined, and we have

$$(*) \quad \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle = \sum_{v \in C} \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle_v$$

In the arithmetic situation, when the base field is a number field, the global construction fails due to the lack of appropriate cohomology theory. But we may still define the local indices  $\langle, \rangle_v$  numbered by the places of the base field, and then use  $(*)$  as the definition of  $\langle, \rangle$ . These indices are defined using  $\ell$ -adic cohomology for non-archimedean  $v$  and using the absolute Hodge-Deligne cohomology (see [2], [3], [19]) for archimedean ones; in case of pairing between divisors and zero cycles they are just Neron's quasifunctions ([17], [13], [22]).

We also consider the intersection pairings. In the geometric case this is just the usual intersection pairing between the cycles of complementary dimensions on the regular scheme  $X_C$  proper over  $C$ . In the arithmetic case the role of  $X_C$  plays the  $A$ -variety  $X = (X_2, \omega)$ : where  $X_2$  is a regular scheme projective over  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\omega$  is a Kahler  $(1,1)$ -form on  $X_2 \otimes \mathbb{R}$  (see [15]). We define the corresponding Chow groups  $CH^*(X)$  and the  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued intersection pairing between  $CH^i(X)$  and  $CH^{\dim X - i}(X)$ . This construction was independently found by H. Gillet and Ch. Soulé [10].

The final § contains some conjectures and motivic speculations about algebraic cycles, heights,  $L$ -functions and absolute cohomology groups.

The different construction of height pairing was proposed by S. Bloch [6]; I hope that our pairings coincide.

I would like to thank S. Bloch, P. Deligne, Yu. Manin, V. Schechtman and Ch. Soulé for stimulating ideas and interest.