Cyclical etidronate use is not associated with symptoms of peptic ulcer disease

Abstract Objective: To investigate whether the alkylbisphosphonate etidronate is associated with an increased risk of gastrointestinal symptoms.

Methods: We conducted an observational follow-up study on a possible relationship between etidronate use and the risk of gastrointestinal symptoms in a cohort of 2754 women over 50 years of age. The study was performed with data on drug prescriptions obtained from the PHARMO database in the Netherlands. Women were included when they used either cyclical etidronate ($n = 1050$) or estrogen ($n = 1704$) for at least 14 days. They were followed-up for incident use of antiulcer drugs while on exposure medication.

Results: The mean ages were 72 years and 59 years in the etidronate and estrogen groups, respectively. In total, there were 95 women with incident prescriptions for gastrointestinal events after a median duration of follow-up of 2.7 months (range 0.1–19.4 months). The crude relative risk of a gastrointestinal event for etidronate compared with estrogen use was 1.2 [95% confidence interval (95% CI) 0.8–1.8]. Adjusted for baseline age, use of corticosteroids, salicylates and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, the relative risk reversed to 0.6 (95% CI 0.4–1.2).

Conclusion: The use of cyclical etidronate is not associated with an elevated risk of symptoms of peptic ulcer disease.
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Introduction

Osteoporosis is a major public health problem, particularly in the Westernized societies. It was recently estimated that, in the United States, each year around 1.5 million bone fractures are attributable to osteoporosis [1]. The health care costs associated with these osteoporotic fractures amount to approximately US $14 billion [2]. Therefore, several strategies to prevent fractures have been advocated, including pharmacotherapeutic interventions aiming at inhibition of bone resorption. Among these, the bisphosphonates etidronate and alendronate take a prominent place. They have shown convincing therapeutic efficacy and they are increasingly prescribed for the treatment of established osteoporosis [3–5]. However, the use of the aminobisphosphonate alendronate has been repeatedly associated with severe gastrointestinal side effects such as erosive esophagitis [6–9]. These observations have raised the question whether the use of its predecessor, the alkylbisphosphonate etidronate, is associated with gastrointestinal damage as well. Although randomized clinical trials with etidronate do not support such a hypothesis [4, 5, 10, 11], they were generally too small and too much confined to relatively healthy people to arrive at a sufficiently grounded conclusion on this presumed side effect. As far as we know, there has been only one population-based study on this issue [12]. This study showed no excess risk of major gastrointestinal events in etidronate users but gives no information on minor events and needs confirmation.

We conducted an observational follow-up study on the relationship between etidronate use and the risk of gastrointestinal symptoms in a cohort of 2754 women over 50 years of age.
Methods

Population

The present study was performed with data from the PHARMO record-linkage system. This system provides information on hospital admissions and drug prescriptions in a dynamic population of approximately 450,000 residents of 12 middle-sized Dutch cities from 1985 onward [13, 14]. Women over 50 years of age who were part of the PHARMO population for at least 1 month were eligible and were subsequently included if they had had an episode of etidronate or estrogen use. An episode of drug use was defined as use for at least 14 days and lasted from the initial prescription to the date of stopping the drug. The stopping date was defined as the start of the first period of not taking the drug for 30 days or more. The exposed group consisted of those who had at least one episode of cyclical etidronate use (14 days of etidronate followed by 76 days of calcium). To allow valid comparison, the reference group was chosen to consist of women probably treated for osteoporosis [15]. Therefore, we selected as a reference group women who had at least one episode of either estradiol or conjugated estrogen use, i.e., estrogens labeled for, among other indications, osteoporosis. We assumed that these estrogens themselves are not related to the development of gastrointestinal symptoms.

The beginning date of the first episode of either index or reference medication use was taken as the date of entry into the cohort, i.e., baseline. Entry into the study was stopped on 12 June 1996. Cohort members were followed up for first gastrointestinal events, i.e., episodes of antiulcer medication defined as those drugs that were commonly prescribed for peptic ulcer disease during follow-up. These drugs were antacids, histamine H2-receptor antagonists, gastric acid pump inhibitors, and gastric mucosa protectants. Follow-up ended either with the gastrointestinal event or, when later, with censoring at the end of the etidronate or estrogen episode. To allow statistically reliable risk comparison between the two groups, observations were also censored after 20 months of follow-up, as by that time there appeared to be less than 100 subjects at risk in each group. This moment was arbitrarily chosen. A total of 3684 women with exposure or reference medication were included in the cohort. We excluded 812 women with a history of antiulcer drug use and 118 women who had an episode of both etidronate and estrogen use during the study. The remainder of 1050 etidronate and 1704 estrogen users were analyzed.

Data analysis

The focus was on time-to-event or ‘survival’ analysis. Life tables were constructed to calculate the cumulative risk of a gastrointestinal event as a function of time since baseline. Hazard ratios from proportional hazards models were calculated as measures of relative risk and were presented with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI). In order to adjust for potential confounding, we additionally included indicator variables for baseline 5-year age groups and use of corticosteroids, salicylates, or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The estimated cumulative probability of starting antiulcer drugs in each group was plotted against time of observation. Log-minus-log plots showed appropriateness of proportional hazards models.

Results

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics for etidronate and estrogen users. Etidronate users were at baseline substantially older than users of estrogen, with mean (range) ages 72 years (54–95 years) and 59 years (50–91 years), respectively. In the etidronate group, a considerably larger proportion than in the estrogen group was using corticosteroids, salicylates, or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

The median (range) duration of follow-up in the etidronate and estrogen group was 5 months (0.1–20 months) and 3 months (0.2–20 months), respectively. In total there were 95 women with incident gastrointestinal events. The events occurred on the median (range) 2.7 months (0.1–19.4 months) after baseline [3.7 months (0.1–17.3 months) in the etidronate and 2.3 months (0.2–19.4 months) in the estrogen users]. After 12 months, the cumulative risk of a gastrointestinal event was 8% in the etidronate group, and 6% in the estrogen group. After 18 months, these risks were 11% and 10%, respectively.

The unadjusted relative risk of a gastrointestinal event for etidronate compared with estrogen use was 1.2 (95% CI 0.8–1.8). When adjusted for age only, this relative risk reversed to 0.7 (95% CI 0.4–1.2) and additional adjustment for baseline use of corticosteroids, salicylates, or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs changed it to 0.6 (95% CI 0.4–1.2). Adjustment for prescriber did not affect the results. None of the above relative risks was statistically significant. Figure 1 illustrates for each group the estimated cumulative probability of an incident gastrointestinal event by follow-up time, after adjustment for baseline age, use of corticosteroids, salicylates, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. It shows that, throughout the follow-up period, the risks were slightly higher for the estrogen users than for those taking etidronate.

Discussion and conclusions

In the present study, we show that etidronate use is not related to an increased risk of peptic ulcer disease compared with estrogen in a large cohort of women aged 50 years or over. An important advantage of this study is that it was conducted among members of the general drug-taking population, thus disposing of the problems of inference from randomized clinical trials that are commonly conducted in relatively healthy persons only. Further, the cohort design allowed us to