Abstract We report a case of malignant meningioma that occurred in the abdominal operation scar of a 71-year-old woman. This tumor was a 13×8 cm gray–tan soft tumor, consisting of multiple nodules. Histologically, tumor cells proliferated in the subcutaneous tissue, displaying mostly a storiform pattern and a focal whorl formation with high mitotic figures. The immunohistochemical positivity for epithelial membrane antigen and negativity for CD34 enabled us to differentiate this tumor from a dermatofibroma protuberance or hemangio-pericytoma. The patient had a history of operation for a recurrent orbital lesion of a malignant meningioma that initially developed in the frontal skull base. The present case probably resulted from iatrogenic transplantation of the orbital malignant meningioma to the lower abdominal wall, which had served as a donor site for adipose tissue used to pack the orbital defect.
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Introduction

Although a possibility of iatrogenic seeding of a neoplasm by means of surgical operation is well recognized by surgeons [4, 12], cases have unfortunately been repeatedly reported since 1907 [2, 9]. Pathologists may be involved in diagnosing iatrogenic tumors, but it is challenging to distinguish these tumors from those of other causes. Here, we report a case of malignant meningioma that might have been iatrogenically implanted in the lower abdominal wall, which was a donor site for adipose tissue used to pack the orbital defect due to resection of a recurrent malignant meningioma.

Case history

In December 1992, a 71-year-old woman underwent a first operation for a tumor that occupied the frontal skull base and nasal cavity. The histological diagnosis was a transitional meningioma, but the pathologist stated that the tumor was potentially malignant because of the high mitotic count (5 per 10 HPFs) and increased cellularity (Fig. 1). The patient presented with right exophthalmos 5 months later due to an orbital tumor. The histological diagnosis was recurrence of meningioma, and she underwent a second operation for the recurrent tumor. During this operation, abdominal adipose tissue was used to pack the orbital defect due to the curettage of the tumor. A clinician noted a nodule 3 cm in diameter in the abdominal wound 5 months after the second operation. This nodule progressively enlarged. Since the biopsy specimen led to the suspicion of a dermatofibroma protuberance, the tumor was totally resected and submitted to West Japan Pathology Laboratory (Kurashiki, Japan). There was no evidence of additional tumor metastasis in any organs examined. However, the patient showed recurrence of the tumor in the frontal skull base and nasal cavity and died in April 1994.

Materials and methods

The specimen was fixed in formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Sections (4-µm thick) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological diagnosis. In addition, the sections were immunostained using an avidin-biotin horseradish peroxidase complex method. For epithelial membrane antigen (EMA: 1:50; Dako, Kyoto, Japan), vimentin (1:40; Dako), cytokeratin (AE1/AE3: 1:400; Dako), α-smooth muscle actin (1:50; Immunon, Pittsburgh, Pa.), and CD34 (1:50; Immunotech, Marseille, France), a microwave antigen retrieval method was used. For MIB-1 (1:50; Immunotech), a pressure cooker antigen retrieval method was used. The sections were developed with dianobenzidine and counterstained with hematoxylin. Negative controls were treated in the same manner but without the primary antibodies.

Pathologic findings

The specimen was a 13×8 cm gray–tan soft tumor comprising multiple nodules of 6×4.5, 2×2, and 3×2 cm. Mi-
Fig. 1 A Histology of the primary meningial tumor. Tumor cells show cellular whorls and the invasive pattern at the periphery of the tumor. B Higher magnification of the tumor. Note the mitotic figure (arrowhead).

Fig. 2 Histology of the abdominal tumor. A Tumor cells proliferate in subcutaneous tissue displaying a storiform pattern, mimicking a dematofibrosarcoma protuberance. B They also show cellular whorls.

Fig. 3 Immunohistochemical staining of the abdominal tumor. A Tumor cells show diffuse cytoplasmic and focal vacuolar staining for epithelial membrane antigen (EMA). B CD34 is not expressed in tumor cells but in endothelial cells of blood vessels. C Forty percent of the nuclei of the tumor cells are labeled with MIB-1.